

**JOHN REMINGTON GRAHAM**

COUNSELOR AT LAW

180 Haut de la Paroisse  
St-Agapit (LOTB)  
Quebec G0S 1Z0 Canada  
TEL-FAX 418-888-5049  
jrgraham@novicomfusion.com  
February 4, 2013

Hon. Cyrus Vance Jr.  
New York County District Attorney  
One Hogan Place  
New York, New York 10013 U. S. A.

In re: Collapse of the Twin Towers and Building #7 at the World Trade Center in New York City on September 11, 2001

Dear Sir, --

I am a member of the Minnesota Bar (#3664X), now living abroad, but still handling selected business in the United States. Because you do not know me in person or by reputation, I enclose herewith an abbreviated résumé. Suffice it to say here that I have been a criminal and trial lawyer more than forty years, practiced on general or special admission in sixteen States, and served as a chief public prosecutor, in which capacity I did nearly all grand jury work in my office, including a number of very sensitive cases. I am interested in forensic science and medicine, and in prosecutorial ethics, hence I followed with sympathy and admiration your handling of the difficulties encountered by your office in the case of Monsieur Dominique Strauss-Kahn. From personal experience I know what you went through.

Over the past year, I have consulted on a volunteer basis with an organization known as Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth (hereinafter AE911Truth), which is international in membership, and formed around an authoritative nucleus of many hundreds of experienced professional architects and engineers who have concluded, after a careful examination of now-available-and-organized scientific evidence, that the Twin Towers and Building #7 at the World Trade Center collapsed on 9/11, not on account of aircraft collision and office fires, but expertly engineered controlled demolitions, which could not have occurred without active planning and activity of enemies of the State of New York and the United States.

As a private citizen supporting the objectives of AE911Truth, I write this letter transmitted to you through our counsel William H. Schaap of the New York Bar by certified delivery in order to propose a scheduled meeting regarding the above-described subject matter, between you and/or deputies in your office selected by you, and a committee of three or four persons speaking for AE911Truth, consisting of its chief executive officer and founder Richard Gage of San Francisco, AIA, a veteran architect, at least one member of the New York Bar who will act as our counsel on the occasion, and also myself. Our committee would like to meet with you and/or chosen members of your staff at a time and place in the near future to be designated by

you. We request an answer in writing to this request for a meeting at your earliest convenience, say in a month or so, including an explanation of your position, if you elect not to meet with us, or, as we hope, an invitation to meet with you and/or your staff at a certain time and place under such reasonable conditions you deem proper. Please feel free to contact me for working out mutually convenient protocol and details of the meeting.

We are not interested in press fanfare or a media blitz in connection with a meeting over this sensitive subject matter. Our objective is a sober, businesslike discussion in hopes of securing the cooperation of your office in securing appointment of a special prosecutor to appear before a grand jury in your district to examine accumulated, now-available forensic evidence which touches upon the subject matter hereinabove indicated, and assessment of possible liability for criminal acts, omissions, or misprisions prohibited by the laws of the State of New York. Please note also that we are not interested in getting involved in other aspects of the 9/11 tragedy outside of, or not impacting the State of New York.

I have been working with Mr. Gage and other members of his organization to frame a realistic offer of proof for your consideration as first prosecutor for the State of New York in New York County, including the Borough of Manhattan, where the incidents here in question occurred, to wit:

«Upon the testimony of experts, qualified by academic training, personal experience, and/or professional licensure, stepping forward from over 1700 architects and engineers from the United States, Canada, Europe, and other parts of the world, and using appropriate exhibits to illustrate the facts, it can be proved to a high degree of scientific certainty, under applicable rules of evidence, that the Twin Towers and Building #7 at the World Trade Center collapsed on September 11, 2001, not on account of aircraft collision and/or office fires as claimed by reports published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) which ignored virtually all pertinent and critical evidence, but on account of controlled demolition, or, in other words, by detonation of powerful, military-grade explosive and incendiary agents planted secretly, massively, systematically, expertly, and strategically throughout the three buildings prior to the day in question, in a manner calculated to induce structural failures which caused the three buildings to collapse.

«Key evidence of controlled demolition, absolutely inconsistent with official explanations in the reports of FEMA and NIST, includes (1) the durability and design of these buildings sufficient to withstand the impact of aircraft and internal fires; (2) the extremely high temperatures (2800-4000 degrees Fahrenheit) which were required to melt, and actually melted steel structures and concrete material in the three buildings; (3) the near free-fall acceleration and (4) the symmetry of the collapse of the three edifices, (5) including even Building #7 which was not struck by aircraft, yet came down in a manner visibly indistinguishable from a professionally engineered demolition; (6) the lateral ejection, at a calculated speed of 60 miles per hour, of hundreds of structural steel members from, also (7) mid-air pulverization of an estimated 90,000 tons of concrete during implosion of the Twin Towers; (8) discovery by public officials, in the dust at Ground Zero and across downtown Manhattan, of literally tons of previously molten, iron-rich microspheres; and (9) discovery in the same dust by an international team of scientists of fragments of unignited nano-thermite.

«Given established, state-of-the-art knowledge in architectural design, building, and engineering, it may be inferred to a high degree of scientific certainty that the planting of explosives would have required deliberate and planned effort, under the direction of demolition experts, over the course of several weeks at least, more likely months, perhaps years, and that such a well-executed, clandestine effort could not have been carried out without active assistance of persons who had detailed knowledge of the buildings and regular access to them.

«Aside from the distinct problem of discovering the facts related to the aircraft colliding with the Twin Towers, identification of suspects and culprits in the controlled demolition, and assessing criminal liability for such activity (e. g., treason, murder, manslaughter, arson, or misprision of treason or felony) must proceed in a logical, step-by-step manner from the fact of controlled demolition, and turn on examination of witnesses before a grand jury under the guidance of a special prosecutor with adequate independence, professional experience, moral courage, and unimpeachable impartiality.»

We think that we have uncovered evidence which is equivalent to the proverbial “smoking gun” in proving up controlled demolition. The reports by FEMA and NIST are no match for the clear and overwhelming evidence which we are prepared to introduce before a grand jury and in open court.

AE911Truth has published material aimed at education of the general public in the United States and other countries concerning the events here in question, and their material includes video presentations which include passages from interviews of key experts in various fields, including fire protection, demolition, architecture, metallurgy, physics, chemistry, and structural engineering. You are invited to watch a convenient, one-hour, internet-accessible video at [www.YouTube.com/AE911Truth](http://www.YouTube.com/AE911Truth). This particular video is suitable for a general audience of intelligent, independent-minded people. While the dramatic format is not what a grand jury would entertain, we recommend this piece, because the substantive content is pertinent: it will introduce you to some of our witnesses and their credentials, outline the kind of evidence we can present, and explain our humanitarian mission in the public interest. We trust that your office and our organization have the same patriotic motivations which transcend all partisan themes or overtones. We are aware of those who have attempted to debunk some of the elements of our proposed proof. We have anticipated, and are able to answer their claims decisively. And we are prepared to assist your office and/or the special prosecutor, if appointed, in mastering the forensic evidence, including all objections to it, and the refutation thereof.

In this connection, we wish to stress that we are not claiming that 9/11 was caused by any particular faction domestic or foreign, whether operating within the public or private sector. We are saying that the destruction of the three buildings was professionally and expertly engineered by those who did not have the best interests of our country at heart, that the truth must be revealed and known, and that, if possible, those responsible for the wrongs committed on 9/11 should not be protected, but ought to be brought to justice in an orderly, civilized manner, including the protections of due process of law. Keep in mind that misprisions or covering up can be crimes, and immunities granted to those implicated in such concealment can be used to extract critical information, which can in turn make the truth known and promote justice. We are not indulging in a mere pipedream here, but have in mind realistic objectives. We stress that our

suggestion of a special prosecutor of unquestionable integrity and standing, perhaps a former judge or an eminent law professor, or somebody of like stature, is meant to take pressure off your office, rather than implicate you and your staff in an imbroglio. We are suggesting a grand jury behind closed doors, precisely because we want to avoid press fanfare and public oratory during the investigation. We hope for deliberation which is calm, dispassionate, rigorous, thorough, and disciplined.

In any event, we await your answer in writing at your earliest convenience. I shall be pleased to act as the go-between here, assuring you in advance of discreet handling. Thanking you for your attention, I remain

Respectfully yours,

Copy to Richard Gage, AIA